

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING D	PATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/909,813	07/23/2	001	Shuichi Karino	ND-395 US	ND-395 US 2228	
21254	7590	11/04/2005		EXAM	INER	
	NTELLECTU OURTHOUSE	AL PROPER'	CONTEE, JOY KIMBERLY			
SUITE 200	JORTHOUSE	ROAD		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
VIENNA, V	A 22182-3813	7		2686		

DATE MAILED: 11/04/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	09/909,813	KARINO ET AL.	KARINO ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Joy K. Contee	2686		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeariod for Reply	opears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence addr	ess	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING 6 - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perional for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI .136(a). In no event, however, may a d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO tte, cause the application to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this com BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status			•	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16	February 2005			
·= · ·—	is action is non-final.		•	
3) Since this application is in condition for allow		ters, prosecution as to the n	nerits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under		•		
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the applicatio	n.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr				
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12 and 15-25</u> is/are allowed.	•		•	
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>13</u> is/are rejected.				
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>14</u> is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ ac	cepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to th	e drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ction is required if the drawing	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR	1.121(d).	
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO	-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
1. Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received.			
2. Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received in A	Application No		
Copies of the certified copies of the pri	ority documents have beer	received in this National St	age	
application from the International Bure	au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	st of the certified copies not	received.		
•				
Attachment(s)				
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)		
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 		s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-1	52)	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:		- -,	

Application/Control Number: 09/909,813

Art Unit: 2686

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 13 have been considered but are moot in view of the new grounds of rejection. Applicant argues that Agrawal et al. (US 6,590,259) fails to disclose an update reaching range included in each notification packet defines the highest level of the tree to which notification packet is to be transmitted. Examiner disagrees. Agrawal et al. teaches (1) that the system in Fig. 1A which depicts a typical architecture wherein the LAN network maybe configured in a tree network (col. 5,lines 29-44); (2) in an intra-domain mobility management technique hierarchical layers are implemented (reads on old and new base stations) (col. 6,line 38- col. 7,line 33 and col. 8,lines 41-53); and (3) defining a source address in the header of the routed "active packet" and updating a forwarding MAC ID (col.12,lines 16-40). Examiner has modified the previous rejection to more clearly point to Agrawal's text which meet the Applicant's claimed limitations.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Application/Control Number: 09/909,813 Page 3

Art Unit: 2686

3. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Agrawal et al. (Agrawal), U.S. Patent No. 6,490,259.

Regarding claim 13, Agrawal discloses a route updating method for micromobility network, wherein routers are connected in a tree configuration having a plurality of layers and extending up in layers to a root router connected to an external network (col. 5,lines 29-44) and radio base stations are connected to the routers in a lowest layer of said tree configuration, said route updating method comprising:

receiving, in router in a layer of said tree, a routing updating notification packet from a next lower layer (and lower order network) and updating said routing in said router, said packet including an update reaching range defining a highest level (reads on source address or forwarding ID) in said tree connection to which said updating notification is to be transmitted (col. 4,lines 6-67and col. 5,lines 29-44 and col. 12,lines 14-45).

Allowable Subject Matter

- Claims 1-12 and 15-25 are allowed.
- 5. Claim 14 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joy K. Contee whose telephone number is

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/909,813

Art Unit: 2686

571.272.7906. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 5:30 a.m. to 2:00 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Marsha Banks-Harold can be reached on 571.272.7905. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JC